1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

1415

1617

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-0939 (MJP)

Defendants' Partially Opposed Motion to Extend Time to File Answer

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

Defendants, the United States of America, et al., by and through undersigned counsel, move to extend by 30-days the deadline for filing an Answer to the Plaintiffs' Complaint. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(2); Dkt. No. 1. The requested 30-day extension would make Defendants' Answer due on Wednesday, September 26, 2018, and would not require the Court to adjust any other previously established deadlines. On August 21, 2018, Defendants contacted Plaintiffs' counsel via email to ascertain their position on Defendants' motion, and Plaintiffs indicated that they consent to a 14-day extension of the deadline, but opposed Defendants' request for a longer 30-day extension.

On August 8, 2018, the Court granted Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue. Dkt. No. 88. The Court transferred this case to the Southern District of California, finding that there "is substantial overlap between the States' claims and those being litigated in [*Ms. L v. U.S. ICE*, No. 18-428 (S.D. Cal.)]." Dkt. No. 88. The Court noted that the case was to be assigned to Judge Sabraw in the Southern District of California, 15-days following the Court's order, or on August

Case 2:18-cv-00939-MJP Document 90 Filed 08/23/18 Page 2 of 4

1 23, 2018. *Id.* Explaining the rationale for granting the Defendants' motion to transfer, the Court 2 noted that "the government must turn all of its efforts to locating and reuniting parents and 3 children who have been separated and potentially harmed by this Policy." Dkt. No. 88. The 4 government is actively engaged in the reunification process and compliance with and administration of the Ms. L injunction. As the Court noted, "the primary of import of the States' 5 case is to reunite families as expeditiously as possible," dkt. no. 88, and as such, the Defendants' 6 7 request for an extension of time to answer does not harm Plaintiffs. Therefore, in the interests of 8 proceeding with this litigation in the most orderly and efficient manner possible, the Court 9 should grant Defendants' motion to extend the time period for Defendants to answer Plaintiffs' 10 complaint. 11 For the reasons stated above, the Defendants respectfully request that the Court extend the 12 deadline for their Answer to the Complaint by 30-days, through September 26, 2018. 13 14 15 DATED: August 23, 2018 CHAD A. READLER Acting Assistant Attorney General 16 WILLIAM C. PEACHEY 17 Director 18 EREZ REUVENI 19 **Assistant Director** 20 NICOLE N. MURLEY 21 Trial Attorney 22 /s/ Nicole N. Murley NICOLE N. MURLEY 23 Trial Attorney 24 **JOSHUA PRESS** Trial Attorney 25 United States Department of Justice Civil Division 26 Office of Immigration Litigation 27 28

1

District Court Section P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044 Phone: (202) 616-0473 Nicole.Murley@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America and the Federal Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 23, 2018, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington's Electronic Document Filing System (ECF), which will serve a copy of this document upon all counsel of record.

By: <u>/s/ Nicole N. Murley</u> NICOLE N. MURLEY

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., Defendants,	PROPOSED ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE ANSWER
Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to e	extend time to file answer. For the reasons stated
in the Defendants' Motion, the Court finds that	at good cause supports the requested 30-day
extension. Accordingly, Defendants' deadline to	file an Answer to the Complaint is extended
through September 26, 2018.	
Dated: August, 2018	
	ORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN ed States District Judge

STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

Presented by:

CHAD A. READLER Acting Assistant Attorney General

WILLIAM C. PEACHEY Director

EREZ REUVENI Assistant Director

NICOLE N. MURLEY Trial Attorney

JOSHUA S. PRESS Trial Attorney

United States Department of Justice Civil Division Office of Immigration Litigation District Court Section P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044 Phone: (202) 305-0106 joshua.press@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America and the Federal Defendants